

#### BCILAB



http://sccn.ucsd.edu/wiki/BCILAB



## Summary



- Software environment for:
  - Design & rapid prototyping of cognitive monitoring systems
  - Offline testing, performance assessment
  - Simulated online testing
  - Real-time use, prototype deployment



#### Features



- Largest collection of machine learning and signal processing methods for BCI / CSA publicly available (open source)
- Currently ca. 130 algorithms:
  - conventional BCI components (CSP, LDA, logBP, Spec-CSP, SVMs, ...)
  - state-of-the-art approaches (DSLR, AMICA, HKL, DPGMM, ...)
  - new approaches (RSSD, OSR, ICSD, SSB, WPI, …)
  - fast/general backend solvers (DAL, glm-ie, CVX, ...)
- All fully integrated



## **BCILAB** Components





### Some Model Types Visualized









## Framework

- Fully automated pipeline (artifact rejection, caching, filtering, parallelization, parameter search, cross-validation, cloud deployment)
- Fully probabilistic framework
- Neuroscience-aware features (anatomical constraints, source-level analysis, ...)
- Support for batch processing
- Support for corpus-scale analysis (multiple sessions, persons, etc.)



## **BCI** Metaphor

 BCIs in BCILAB are acting as an oracle that consumes one or more biosignals and can respond to (predefined) queries about cognitive state





## **Online Data Flow**

- A filter graph receives all input samples and produces pre-filtered data
- The prediction function may be queried on demand on the filter graph's outputs





## Model Calibration

• **Problem**: optimal parameters for a BCI model depend on person, montage, task, etc.





## Model Calibration

 Therefore infer model parameters from calibration / training data





## **Calibration: BCI Paradigms**

- BCI paradigms are the coarsest plugin type in BCILAB and tie all parts of a BCI approach together
- They are seeds for new BCI designs and cornerstones of BCILAB usage





## **Evaluation: Offline**

- Given calibration data
- Estimate model parameters (spatial filters, statistics)
- Apply the model to new data (online / single-trial)





## **Evaluation: Offline**

- Evaluation of computational approaches on a single data set?
- can split data set repeatedly into training/test blocks systematically, a.k.a. *cross-validation*





## **Finding Best Parameters**

- Can be done using cross-validation in a grid search (try all values of free parameters)
- Quite general (e.g. can search for best method)





## **Finding Best Parameters**

- Can be done using cross-validation in a grid search (try all values of free parameters)
- Evaluation: Can be nested within an outer crossvalidation ("nested cross-validation")





### Neat Feature

- All offline analysis can be executed in parallel on a cluster (or the cloud)
- Batch analysis, cross-validation, parameter search, methods comparisons



## **GUI** Tour

 Alternative to scripting, for experimenters, psychologists, quick-and-dirty analysis



#### **Integrated Help**



## Help Wiki



http://sccn.ucsd.edu/wiki/BCILAB



## Loading data

📣 BCILAB 0	.9				
Data Source	Offline Analysis	Online Analysis	Settings	Help	
Load reco	rding(s)	Ctrl+L			
Load stud	Y				
Define ma	rker transform	Ctrl+D			
Workspace	e	•			

• Supports >20 common file formats

### **Offline Analysis**

A BCILAB 0	.9		
Data Source	Offline Analysis Online Analys	is Setting	gs Help
	New approach	Ctrl+N	
	Modify approach	Ctrl+M	
	Review/edit approach	Ctrl+R	
	Save approach	Ctrl+S	
	Train new model	Ctrl+T	
	Apply model to data	Ctrl+A	
	Visualize model	Ctrl+V	
	Investigate results	Ctrl+I	
	Transform data by model	Ctrl+P	

## Defining an Approach

• Some of these work best for oscillatory processes, others for ERP-like features, etc.



### **Quick Setup**

📣 BCILAB 0.9			
Data Source Offline	Analysis Online Analysis	Settings Help	

🔸 Configure approach	
New sampling rate of the data	100
Epoch time window relative to the events Event/marker types for which epochs shall be extracted	[0.5 3.5] {'S 1' 'S 2'}
Frequency-domain selection	[7 30]
Number of CSP patterns (times two)	3
Machine learning function	lda 🗾
Help	Cancel Ok

## Or Detailed Configuration

- Allows to edit all properties of the chosen approach
- Filter stages can be added and configured
- Feature extraction can be configured
- Machine learning components can be selected and configured

	🛃 R	eview/edit approach	_ 🗆 🗙
	- 4	spproach properties	
		Signal Processing	×
	E	SignalProcessing	
		FilterOrdering	
📣 BCI		Resampling	
Data S		SamplingRate	100
		ChannelSelection	
		Rereferencing	
		ICA	
		SurfaceLaplacian	
		FIRFilter	
		Projection	
		IIRFilter	
		Standardization	
		SparseReconstruction	
		EpochExtraction	<b>V</b>
		TimeWindow	[0.5 3.5]
		EventTypes	5 1[]
		BaselineRemoval	
2		WindowSelection	
0		SpectralTransform	
		<ul> <li>SpectralSelection</li> </ul>	<b>V</b>
		FrequencySpecification	[7 30]
	E	Feature Extraction	
	E	FeatureExtraction	
		PatternPairs	3
	E	PluginFunctions	
		FeatureAdaptor	para_csp::@csp_train
		FeatureExtractor	para_csp::@csp_predict
		FeatureAdaptorNeedsVoting	
		FeatureVisualizer	para_csp::@csp_visualize
	E	Machine Learning	
		- Machinel earning	<u> </u>
	() ()	Name) Description)	
		Help	Cancel OK

#### Model Calibration

Dat

	🥠 Calibrate a model			<u> </u>
	Selected approach	lastapproach ("Ima	agined Movement	s vi 🔻
	Calibration data source	lastdata ("imag.s	et")	<b>*</b>
сті	Parameter Search			
a So	Loss/Performance Metric	Automatically cho	sen	
_	Cross-validation folds	5		
	Spacing around test trials	5		
	Performance estimates			
	Compute performance est	imates		
	Cross-validation folds	10		
	Spacing around test trials	5		
	Computing resources			
	🔲 Run on a computer cluster	г		
	Node pool	(use d	current config)	
	Save model in workspace as		astmodel	
	Save stats in workspace as		aststats	
	Help	,	Cancel	ок



### **Reviewing Results**

	iew Results				
– Data	Summary				
Data	( Cultimar y				
	True	e positive rat	e : 0.90 +/-	0.14 (N=10)	-
	False	e positive rat	e : 0.10 +/-	0.14 (N=10)	
	True	e negative rat	e : 0.84 +/-	0.17 (N=10)	
	False	e negative rat	e : 0.16 +/-	0.17 (N=10)	
		Error rat	e : 0.14 +/-	0.14 (N=10)	
					-
– Data	Details				
	True positive rate	False positive rate	True negative r	False negative r	Error rate
1	True positive rate 0.8333	False positive rate 0.1667	True negative r 0.8750	False negative r 0.1250	Error rate 0.1429
1 2	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571
1 2 3	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333
1 2 3 4	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1 1	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0 0	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500 1	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500 0	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333 0
1 2 3 4 5	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1 1 1	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0 0 0	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500 1 0.8571	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500 0 0 0.1429	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333 0 0.0667
1 2 3 4 5 6	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1 1 1 0.8750	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0 0 0 0 0 0.1250	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500 1 0.8571 1	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500 0 0.1429 0	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333 0 0.0667 0.0714
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1 1 0.8750 0.8000	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0 0 0 0 0 0.1250 0.2000	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500 1 0.8571 1 0.4444	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500 0 0.1429 0 0.5556	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333 0 0.0667 0.0714 0.4286
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1 1 1 0.8750 0.8000 0.9000	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0 0 0 0 0 0.1250 0.2000 0.1000	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500 1 0.8571 1 0.4444 1	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500 0 0.1429 0 0.5556 0	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333 0 0.0667 0.0714 0.4286 0.0667
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1 1 0.8750 0.8000 0.9000 1	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2000 0.2000 0.1000	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500 1 0.8571 1 0.4444 1 0.8333	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500 0 0.1429 0 0.5556 0 0.1667	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333 0 0.0667 0.0714 0.4286 0.0667 0.0714
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1 1 0.8750 0.8000 0.9000 1 1	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0 0 0 0 0.1250 0.2000 0.1000 0 0.1000	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500 1 0.8571 1 0.4444 1 0.8333 0.9000	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500 0 0.1429 0 0.5556 0 0.1667 0.1000	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333 0 0.0667 0.0714 0.4286 0.0667 0.0714 0.0714 0.0667
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10	True positive rate 0.8333 0.5714 1 1 1 0.8750 0.8000 0.9000 1 1	False positive rate 0.1667 0.4286 0 0 0 0 0 0.1250 0.2000 0.1000 0 0.1000 0 0	True negative r 0.8750 0.7143 0.7500 1 0.8571 1 0.4444 1 0.8333 0.9000	False negative r 0.1250 0.2857 0.2500 0 0.1429 0 0.5556 0 0.5556 0 0.1667 0.1000	Error rate 0.1429 0.3571 0.1333 0 0.0667 0.0714 0.4286 0.0667 0.0714 0.4286 0.0667 0.0714

## Visualizing model properties

A BCILAB 0	.9			
Data Source	Offline Analysis Online Analys	is Setting	js Help	
	New approach	Ctrl+N		
	Modify approach	Ctrl+M		
	Review/edit approach	Ctrl+R		
	Save approach	Ctrl+S		
	Train new model	Ctrl+T		
	Apply model to data	Ctrl+A		
	Visualize model	Ctrl+V		
	Investigate results	Ctrl+I		
	Transform data by model	Ctrl+P		

## Visualizing model properties



## **Applying Models Offline**

A BCILAB 0	.9			
Data Source	Offline Analysis Online Analys	sis Setting	gs Help	
	New approach	Ctrl+N		
	Modify approach	Ctrl+M		
	Review/edit approach	Ctrl+R		
	Save approach	Ctrl+S		
	Train new model	Ctrl+T		
	Apply model to data	Ctrl+A		
	Visualize model	Ctrl+V		
	Investigate results	Ctrl+I		
	Transform data by model	Ctrl+P		



## **Online Processing**

• Select data source

📣 BCILAB 0	.91-workshop				
Data Source	Offline Analysis	Online Analysis	Settings	Help	
		Process data	within	•	
		Read input fr	om		BioSemi amplifier
		Write output	to		DataRiver stream
		Clear all onlin	e processir	ng	OSC
					Dataset



## **Online Processing**

• Select output destination

📣 BCILAB 0	.91-workshop				
Data Source	Offline Analysis	Online Analysis	Settings	Help	
		Process data	within	►	
		Read input fr	om	•	
		Write output	to		File
		Clear all onlin	e processir	ng	OSC
		1			MATLAB visualization
					TCP

#### Sample real-time output





 Doing a parameter search and nested crossvalidation

```
%% --- train an alternative model with parameter search ---
% (over possible values for the number of pattern pairs, using CSP; note: this takes guite some time!)
% (the number of pattern pairs found optimal should be 3 in this case)
% load the data set (BCI2000 format)
traindata = io loadset('data:/tutorial/imag movements1/calib/DanielS001R01.dat');
% define approach
myapproach = {'CSP' ...
    'SignalProcessing', {'EpochExtraction', {'TimeWindow', [0 3.5], ...
                        'EventTypes', {'StimulusCode 2', 'StimulusCode 3'}}}, ...
    'FeatureExtraction', {'PatternPairs', search(1,2,3)}};
% learn model; here, using only a 5x outer cross-valination as it is otherwise too slow
[trainloss,lastmodel,laststats] = bci train({'data',traindata,'approach',myapproach, ...
    'eval scheme', {'chron', 5, 5}});
                                                        Search over different alternatives
% visualize results
bci visualize(lastmodel);
                                Also: Custom cross-validation scheme
```



• Running the model online

```
% load feedback session
testdata = io_loadset('data:/tutorial/imag_movements1/feedback/DanielS001R01.dat');
```

```
% play it back in real time
run_readdataset('Dataset',testdata);
```

```
% process data in real time using lastmodel, and visualize outputs run_writevisualization('Model',lastmodel, 'VisFunction','bar(y)');
```



• Running an advanced ERP analysis (with sparse classifier):

```
% define markers; here, two groups of markers are being defined; the first group represents class 1
% (correct responses), and the second group represents class 2 (incorrect responses).
mrks = {{'S101', 'S102'}, {'S201', 'S202'}};
% define ERP windows of interest; here, 7 consecutive windows of 50ms length each are being
% specified, starting from 250ms after the subject response
wnds = [0.25 \ 0.3; 0.3 \ 0.35; 0.35 \ 0.4; 0.4 \ 0.45; 0.45 \ 0.5; 0.5 \ 0.55; 0.55 \ 0.6];
% define load training data (BrainVision format)
traindata = io_loadset('data:/tutorial/flanker_task/12-08-001_ERN.vhdr');
% define approach
mvapproach = {'Windowmeans' ...
     SignalProcessing', {'EpochExtraction', {'TimeWindow', [0 0.8], 'EventTypes', mrks}, 'SpectralSelection', [0.1 15]}, ...
    'FeatureExtraction',{'TimeWindows',wnds}, ...
    'MachineLearning',{'Learner', {'logreg', [],'Variant','vb-ard'}}};
%learn model
[trainloss,lastmodel,laststats] = bci_train({'data',traindata,'approach',myapproach});
% visualize results
bci_visualize(lastmodel)
```



• Running a batch analysis for 3 modern approaches and 136 data sets (latest version only):

% define markers; here, two groups of markers are being defined; the first group represents class 1
% (correct responses), and the second group represents class 2 (incorrect responses).
mrks = {{'S101','S102'},{'S201','S202'}};
wnds = [0.25 0.3;0.3 0.35;0.35 0.4; 0.4 0.45;0.45 0.5;0.5 0.55;0.55 0.6];

#### % define approaches

```
approaches.wmeans_lda = {'Windowmeans' 'flt',{'events',mrks,'epoch',[0 0.8],'spectrum',[0.1 15]},'fex',{'wnds',wnds}};
approaches.wavelet_lars = {'Dataflow' 'flt',{'events',mrks,'epoch',[0 0.8],'spectrum',[0.1 15],'wavelet','on'},...
'ml',{'learner',{'logreg',[],'variant','lars'}};
approaches.dal = {'DAL_Lofreq','SignalProcessing',{'Resampling',60,'IIRFilter','off','FIRFilter',[0.1 0.5 18 21], ...
'EpochExtraction',{'EventTypes',mrks,'TimeWindow',[-0.2 0.65]}},'MachineLearning',{'Learner',{'dal',2.^(8:-0.125:1)}}};
```

% run a batch analysis... results = bci\_batchtrain('Datasets','/data/projects/grainne/ERN/\*.vhdr','Approaches',approaches,'RetainExistingResults',true);



## Plugin Authoring: FFT Filter

```
function signal = flt_fft(varargin)
% Apply an FFT to each epoch of an epoched signal (Example).
% Signal = flt_fft(Signal, LogPower)
%
% This is example code to transform a signal into the power domain, or log-power domain. A
% fully-featured version of this is flt_fourier.
%
% In:
%
             Epoched data set to be processed
    Signal :
%
%
    LogPower : whether to take the logarithm of the power (instead of the raw power) (default: false)
%
% Out:
%
    Signal : processed data set
%
%
                                 Christian Kothe, Swartz Center for Computational Neuroscience, UCSD
%
                                 2011-01-19
if ~exp_beginfun('filter') return; end
% requires epoched data, works best on spatially filtered data
declare_properties('name','EpochedFFT', 'depends','set_makepos', 'follows',{'flt_project','flt_window'}, 'independent_channels',true);
% declare arguments
arg_define(varargin,...
    arg_norep({'signal','Signal'}), ...
    arg({'do_logpower', 'LogPower'}, false, [], 'Compute log-power. Taking the logarithm of the power in each frequency band is easier to
% apply FFT and cut mirror half of the resulting samples
tmp = fft(signal.data,[],2);
tmp = tmp(:,1:signal.pnts/2,:);
% take signal power or log(power)
if do_logpower
    signal.data = log(abs(tmp));
else
    signal.data = abs(tmp);
end
exp_endfun;
```



### Kernel SVMs (via SVMperf)

arg\_define([O 3],varargin, ...

arg\_norep('trials'), ...

arg\_norep('targets'), ...

arg({'cost', 'Cost'}, search(2.^(-5:2:15)), [], 'Regularization parameter. Reasonable range: 2.^(-5:2:15), greater is stronger. By default, it is average arg({'ptype', 'Type'}, 'classification', {'classification', 'regression', 'ranking'}, 'Type of problem to solve.', 'cat', 'Core Parameters'), ...

arg({'kernel', 'Kernel'}, 'rbf', {'linear', 'rbf', 'poly', 'sigmoid', 'user'}, 'Kernel type. Linear, or Non-linear kernel types: Radial Basis Functions (gene arg({'g', 'RBFScale', 'gamma'}, search(2.^(-16:2:4)), [], 'Scaling parameter of the RBF kernel. Should match the size of structures in the data; A reasonal arg({'d', 'PolyDegree'}, uint32(3), [], 'Degree for the polynomial kernel.', 'cat', 'Core Parameters'), ...

arg({'etube', 'EpsilonTube', 'tube'}, 0.1, [], 'Epsilon tube width for regression.', 'cat', 'Core Parameters'), ...

arg({'rbalance','CostBalance','balance'}, 1, [], 'Relative cost of per-class errors. The factor by which training errors on positive examples outweight

arg({'s','SigmoidPolyScale'}, 1, [], 'Scale of sigmoid/polynomial kernel.','cat','Miscellaneous'), ...

arg({'r', 'SigmoidPolyBias'}, 1, [], 'Bias of sigmoid/polynomial kernel.', 'cat', 'Miscellaneous'), ...

arg({'u','UserParameter'}, '1', [], 'User-defined kernel parameter.','cat','Miscellaneous','type','char','shape','row'), ....

arg({'bias','Bias'}, false, [], 'Include a bias term. Only implemented for linear kernel.','cat','Miscellaneous'), ...

arg({'scaling','Scaling'}, 'std', {'none','center','std','minmax','whiten'}, 'Pre-scaling of the data. For the regulariation to work best, the features : arg({'clean','CleanUp'}, false, [], 'Remove inconsistent training examples.','cat','Miscellaneous'), ...

arg({'epsi','Epsilon','eps'}, 0.1, [], 'Tolerated solution accuracy.','cat','Miscellaneous'), ...

arg({'verbose', 'Verbose'}, false, [], 'Show diagnostic output.', 'cat', 'Miscellaneous'));

if is\_search(cost)

cost = 1; end
if is\_search(q)

q = 0.3; end

#### % find the class labels

classes = unique(targets); if length(classes) > 2 % in this case we use the voter model = ml\_trainvote(trials,targets,'1v1',@ml\_trainsvmlight,@ml\_predictsvmlight,varargin{:}); else % scale the data

scale the data
sc\_info = hlp\_findscaling(trials,scaling);
trials = hlp\_applyscaling(trials,sc\_info);

#### % remap target labels to -1,+1

```
targets(targets==classes(1)) = -1;
targets(targets==classes(2)) = +1;
```

```
% rewrite sme string args to numbers
```

ptype = hlp\_rewrite(ptype,'classification','c','regression','r','ranking','p'); %#ok<\*NODEF>
kernel = hlp\_rewrite(kernel,'linear',0,'poly',1,'rbf',2,'sigmoid',3,'user',4);

#### % build the arguments

args = sprintf('-z %s -c %f -v %d -w %f -j %f, -b %d -i %d -e %f -t %d -d %d -g %f -s %f -r %f -u %s', ... ptype,cost,verbose,etube,rbalance,bias,clean,epsi,kernel,d,g,s,r,u);

#### % run the command

```
model = svmlearn(trials,targets,args);
model.sc_info = sc_info;
model.classes = classes;
```



### **Complete CSP Paradigm**

```
classdef ParadigmCSP < ParadigmDataflowSimplified</pre>
```

methods

```
function defaults = preprocessing defaults(self)
        defaults = {'FIRFilter', {'Frequencies', [6 8 28 32], 'Type', 'minimum-phase'}, 'EpochExtraction', [0.5 3.5], 'Resampling', 10'
   end
   function [model,needsvoting] = feature adapt(self,varargin)
        arg define (varargin, ...
            arg norep('signal'), ...
            arg({'patterns', 'PatternPairs'},3,[], 'Number of CSP patterns' (times two).', 'cat', 'Feature Extraction', 'type', 'expres:
        if signal.nbchan < patterns</pre>
            error('CSP requires at least as many channels as you request output patterns. Please reduce the number of pattern pa:
        for k=1:2
            trials{k} = exp eval(set picktrials(signal, 'rank', k), 1);
            covar{k} = cov(reshape(trials{k}.data,size(trials{k}.data,1),[])');
            covar{k}(~isfinite(covar{k})) = 0;
        end
        [V,D] = eig(covar{1}, covar{1}+covar{2});
       model.filters = V(:,[1:patterns end-patterns+1:end]);
       P = inv(V);
       model.patterns = P([1:patterns end-patterns+1:end],:);
       model.chanlocs = signal.chanlocs;
       needsvoting = true;
   end
   function features = feature_extract(self, signal, featuremodel)
        features = zeros(size(signal.data,3),size(featuremodel.filters,2));
        for t=1:size(signal.data,3)
            features(t,:) = log(var(signal.data(:,:,t)'*featuremodel.filters)); end
   end
   function layout = dialog layout defaults(self)
        layout = {'flt.srate','flt.epoch','flt.fir.fspec','flt.fir.ftype',[],'pred.fad.patterns',[],'pred.ml.learner'};
   end
end
```

# Ongoing: Source-Space Modeling

- Structural prior knowledge
  - can be introduced as side assumptions in the model (e.g. smoothness, sparsity, group sparsity, low rank, ...)







- Quantitative prior knowledge
  - Structure atlases (Talairach, LONI, ...)
     can supply information about the *a* priori relevance of a brain process
  - Can adapt the per-parameter penalty
- Empirical data
  - Data collected from other subjects can be co-registered/aligned and yield empirical prior distributions



## Upcoming

- Next big toolbox release
- Online motion capture etc. processing via MOBILAB
- Effective connectivity integration via SIFT
- New methods in the pipeline (wave propagation imaging, alignment learning)



## Thanks! Questions?

