



Estimating transient phase-amplitude coupling using local mutual information

Ramon Martinez-Cancino

Joseph Heng Ken Kreutz-Delgado Arnaud Delorme Roberto Sotero Scott Makeig

> University of California San Diego Swartz Center for Computational Neurosciences

> > EEGLAB Workshop Aspet, FR, June 2019



Outline

Intro to theory

- Intro to Phase-Amplitude Coupling (PAC)
- Local (pointwise) Information Theory Measures
- Estimating PAC with Local Mutual Information

Results

- Simulations
- ECoG data analysis

Demo

Brain oscillations





Cross-Frequency Coupling



Found both in animals and humans

Associated to epilepsy, Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, schizophrenia, obsessive-compulsive disorder and mild cognitive impairment.

(Mormann et al., 2005; Cohen, 2008; Osipova et al., 2008; Tort et al., 2008, 2009, 2010; Cohen et al., 2009a,b; Colgin et al., 2009; Axmacher et al., 2010a,b; Voytek et al., 2010)



Amplitude Modulation Fundamentals

Modulator $v_{\rm mod} = V_{\rm mod} \sin\left(2\pi f_{\rm mod}t\right)$ Carrier $v_{carr} = V_{carr} \sin\left(2\pi f_{carr} t\right)$

AM Signal

 $v_{AM} = V_{carr} \sin\left(2\pi f_{carr} t\right) + \left[V_{mod} \sin\left(2\pi f_{mod} t\right)\right] \sin\left(2\pi f_{carr} t\right)$



Instantaneous Phase and Amplitude

$$S_t = s_{m_t} e^{i\phi_t}$$

 $S_{m_t} = |S_t|$

 $\phi_t = arg[S_t]$

By means of the **Hilbert transform** a signal can be expressed as its **analytic signal**

Instantaneous amplitude (or the envelope)

Instantaneous phase.



Computing PAC

High frequency band f_{Amp} (e.g: 30-50Hz)

Electrophysiological signal

$$S_{A}$$

$$A_{t} = abs(hilbert(S_{A})) \qquad A_{t}$$

Low frequency band f_{phase} (e.g: 5-12Hz)

 S_{ϕ} $\phi_t = angle(hilbert(S_{\phi}))$ ϕ_t

Mean Vector Length

Canolty et al. 2006

- Composite vectors $z_t = A_t e^{i\phi_t}$
- Mean vector length

$$MVLmi = \left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N} z_t\right|$$





No Coupling

Coupling

Kullback-Leibler Modulation Index

$$P(j) = \frac{\left\langle A_{f_{A}} \right\rangle \phi_{f_{p}}(j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \left\langle A_{f_{A}} \right\rangle \phi_{f_{p}}(k)}$$

$$MI = \frac{D_{KL}(P,U)}{\log N}$$
Tort et al, 2010

Compute the Kullback-Leibler with a uniform distribution



No Coupling

Phase

Coupling

GLM Measure

 $A_t = X\beta + e$

Penny et al. 2008

$$X = \begin{vmatrix} \cos\phi_1 & \sin\phi_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cos\phi_N & \cos\phi_N & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

Explained variance as an index of PAC

ERPAC



Time resolved 'average' PAC by applying **GLM Measure** for each latency in event related data

Computing PAC

High frequency band f_{Amp} (e.g: 30-50Hz)

Electrophysiological signal

$$S_{A}$$

$$A_{t} = abs(hilbert(S_{A})) A_{t}$$

Low frequency band f_{phase} (e.g: 5-12Hz)

 S_{ϕ} $\phi_t = angle(hilbert(S_{\phi}))$ ϕ_t

Mean Vector Length

Canolty et al. 2006

- Composite vectors $z_t = A_t e^{i\phi_t}$
- Mean vector length

$$MVLmi = \left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=1}^{N} z_t\right|$$





No Coupling

Coupling

$P(j) = \frac{\left\langle A_{f_{A}} \right\rangle \phi_{f_{p}}(j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N} \left\langle A_{f_{A}} \right\rangle \phi_{f_{p}}(k)}$ $MI = \frac{D_{KL}(P,U)}{\log N}$ Tort et al, 2010

Compute the Kullback-Leibler with a uniform distribution



No Coupling



Cou



Coupling

GLM Measure

 $A_t = X\beta + e$

Penny et al. 2008

$$X = \begin{vmatrix} \cos\phi_1 & \sin\phi_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cos\phi_N & \cos\phi_N & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

Explained variance as an index of PAC

ERPAC



Time resolved 'average' PAC by applying **GLM Measure** for each latency in event related data

Kullback-Leibler Modulation Index

UC San Diego

Can we do better than this?

YES WE CAN

Goal: Estimating PAC temporal dynamics

Information Theory Definitions

Shannon Entropy: average amount of uncertainty associated to any measure *x* of *X*

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$

Mutual Information: average reduction in uncertainty about X given the knowledge of the value of Y

$$I(X,Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$



$$I(X,Y) = -\sum p(x,y) \log_2 \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)}$$



KSG Mutual Information Estimator

(Kraskov, Stogbauer and Grassberger)

- Extension of Kozachenko-Leonenko estimator of Entropy
- Non-parametric estimator
- Data efficient
- Minimal bias

Assume the joint space Z = (X, Y)

Determining k-nearest neighbors for each z_i

$$||z - z'|| = max\{||x - x'||, ||y - y'||\}$$

- Find K-nearest neighbor of z_i (a distance $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$)
- Count the number of points $n_x(i)$ and $n_y(i)$ in the marginal space within a row (and column) of width ε

Estimate Mutual Information

$$I(X,Y) = \psi(k) - \left\langle \psi(n_x + 1) + \psi(n_y + 1) \right\rangle + \psi(N)$$

Z = (X, Y)k = 2°2' 0 0 $n_x(i) = 4$ $n_v(i) = 6$ B ° 1 •4 **E**(i) / 2 °_2 Y **E(i)** •5 A <mark>о</mark>з °₁, 0 C **E(i)** X

Estimating local Mutual Information

Lizier et al. 2008, considered the estimation of Local MI from the KSG estimator

Estimate Mutual Information

Kraskov et al. 2004

Unrolling expectation

Estimating Local Mutual Information

$$i(x,y) = \psi(k) - \psi(n_x + 1) - \psi(n_y + 1) + \psi(N)$$

 $I(X,Y) = \psi(k) - \left\langle \psi(n_x + 1) + \psi(n_y + 1) \right\rangle + \psi(N)$

Raul Vicente Joseph T. Lizier Editors

> Prected Information Aeasures in leuroscience

Lizier, J. T. Directed Information Measures in Neuroscience. Springer, 2014

Goal: Estimating PAC using local Mutual Information



Instantaneous MIPAC

Data model: Continuous data $(1 \times N_{lat})$

High frequency band f_{Amp} (e.g: 30-50Hz)

 S_A www.www.wherewer.www.wherewer.www. $A_t = abs(hilbert(S_A))$ A_{t} man man market and the second and the second second

Low frequency band f_{phase} (e.g: 5-12Hz)

 S_{ϕ} $\phi_t = angle(hilbert(S_{\phi}))$ ϕ_t

Inst. MIPAC

% Single trials or continuous

 $\Delta_{var} = Inf$; % Initialize Percentage variance reduction c = 1;

while $\Delta_{var_threshold} < \Delta_{var}$ Estimate $i(A_t, \phi_t)$ for k=c; Compute Δ_{var} ; c = c+1;

MIPAC = Low-pass filter $i(A_t, \phi_t)$ at f_{phase} ;

Assume the joint space $Z = (A_t, \phi_t)$

$$||z - z'|| = max\{||\phi - \phi'||, ||A - A'||\}$$

$$i(x,y) = \psi(k) - \psi(n_x + 1) - \psi(n_y + 1) + \psi(N)$$



Inst. MIPAC in a nutshell





Event-related MIPAC



Event-related MIPAC in a nutshell





MIPAC Simulations



Simulation 1.1: Instantaneous MIPAC



- (A) Block-shaped waveform modulation strength.
- (B) Simulated signal
- (C) Estimated MIPAC (red), and local MI (light red)



Simulation 1.2: Instantaneous MIPAC



(A) Saw-tooth shape waveform modulation strength.

- (B) Simulated signal
- (C) Estimated MIPAC (red), and local MI (light red)



Simulation 1.3: Instantaneous MIPAC



(A) Absolute value of a sinusoid used as modulation strength.

- (B) Simulated signal
- (C) Estimated MIPAC (red), and local MI (light red)

Simulation 2: Event-related MIPAC





Each trial was shifted 1-100 pts



Event related MIPAC and ERPAC (*Voytek et al, 2013*) were used to estimate PAC





Simulation 4: MIPAC & MImi



Inst. MIPAC and Event-related MIPAC









MIPAC application to real data

ECoG Data

Subject

- Clinical monitoring and localization of seizure foci
- 1 subject (mv)
- ECoG channels in: Inf. Temp. Gyrus Lingual Gyrus Fusiform Gyrus

Experimental design

- Images of Houses and Faces were presented randomly
- 3 runs 100 presentations each (50 H / 50F)

400 ms



400 ms



Preprocessing

Performed in EEGLAB (Delorme and Makeig, 2004)

- 1. Artifact removal
- 2. CAR
- 3. Resampling to 512Hz
- 4. Line noise removal ~(60, 120) Hz Hamming-windowed FIR notch filter
- 5. Extract epochs time-locked to stimulus presentations [-400,800] *ms*

Original publication: **The physiology of perception in human temporal llobe is specialized for contextual novelty** Kai J. Miller, Dora Hermes, Nathan Witthoft, Rajesh P. N. Rao, Jeffrey G. Ojemann



ECoG Data: MImi in action





ECoG Data: Event Related Potential Image

Channel 16



ECoG Data: MIPAC vs ERPAC

Event-related MIPAC and ERPAC (Voytek et al. 2014) were computed

 $f_{phase} = 16 Hz$ $f_{amp} = 95Hz$



(Martinez-Cancino et al., 2019)



ECoG Data: MIPAC Image

ER-MIPAC computed for *Faces* presentation

 $f_{phase} = 16 \, Hz$ $f_{amp} = 95 Hz$



Conclusions

- The method was validated on simulated PAC signals
- Application to human ECoG data showed positive results

Future Direction



ERPAC Tools



Available from: https://github.com/nucleuscub/pop_pac



Acknowledgments

Coauthors



Joseph Heng





Ken Kreutz Delgado

UC San Diego







Arnaud Delorme Roberto Sotero





Scott Makeig



This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant 5R01-NS047293-12 and by a gift from The Swartz Foundation (Old Field NY)